

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF CARROLL COUNTY)	
WATER DISTRICT NO. 1 FOR A)	
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND)	CASE NO. 8960
NECESSITY)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Carroll County Water District No. 1 ("Carroll County") shall file an original and seven copies of the following information with the Commission with a copy to all parties of record by September 18, 1984. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

1. On February 1, 1984, a billing analysis of the existing test period level of customers was filed showing some 7,845 bills. On February 17, 1984, a response to Item No. 3 stated that the multi-family users had been included in the February 1, 1984, billing analysis as commercial users billed via master meters. With reference to Exhibit J, Page 3, a clause has been added to change the billing procedure for multi-family users. At the hearing held on April 23, 1984, the accountant for Carroll County, Mr. Dennis Raisor, stated that he would furnish the Commission with a study of the change in billing the multi-family rate. On May 7, 1984, a summary of such an analysis was filed. On August 7, 1984, the Commission issued an Order requesting Carroll County to file a

billing analysis similar to that filed on February 1, 1984, for those multi-family users specifically, and an amended billing analysis to reflect the reduction of multi-family users shown on the February 1, 1984, billing analysis. The Commission received a response wherein it was stated that Carroll County is not prepared to estimate what the multi-family user rate should be. If the multi-family users are not omitted from the original billing analysis, wherein they were listed as commercial users, and shown separately, the revenue from these customers may be included twice in the revenue granted to Carroll County, which could result in Carroll County collecting less revenue than they were actually granted by the Commission.

A. If Carroll County is not prepared to estimate what the multi-family user rate should be, or how to assign it, how did you arrive at the summary filed May 7, 1984?

B. The accountant stated at the hearing that he would furnish the Commission with a study of the effect of the change in billing the multi-family users. In response to the information request of August 7, 1984, it is stated that Carroll County is not prepared to estimate or assign such a rate. The Commission cannot set or design rates without a correct billing analysis, which the accountant has thus far not provided. Please furnish the billing analysis as requested in Question No. 2 of the August 7, 1984, information request.

2. In its Order of August 7, 1984, the Commission requested a billing analysis for the projected new customers to

exclude the 184 previously furnished in a separate request. In its response Carroll County stated that it was felt that a billing analysis was not necessary. Please refer to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 9, Application or Notice for Authority to Adjust Rates, which sets out the following filing requirement: an analysis of customer's bills in such detail that the revenues from the present and proposed rates can be readily determined. Please file the information requested in Question No. 3 of the August 7, 1984, information request.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 5th day of September, 1984.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Richard D. Hemant
For the Commission

ATTEST:

Secretary